arXiv:math/9307224v1 [math.CA] 25 Jul 1993

will

GENERALIZED HERMITE POLYNOMIALS AND THE BOSE-LIKE OSCILLATOR CALCULUS

Marvin Rosenblum

Dedicated to Moshe Livšic

Abstract. This paper studies a suitably normalized set of generalized Hermite polynomials and sets down a relevant Mehler formula, Rodrigues formula, and generalized translation operator. Weighted generalized Hermite polynomials are the eigenfunctions of a generalized Fourier transform which satisfies an F. and M. Riesz theorem on the absolute continuity of analytic measures. The Bose-like oscillator calculus, which generalizes the calculus associated with the quantum mechanical simple harmonic oscillator, is studied in terms of these polynomials.

1. Introduction

The generalized Hermite polynomials $\mathbb{H}_n^\mu(x), n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, were defined by Szego [29 , p380, Problem 25] as a set of real polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight $|x|^{2\mu} e^{-x^2}$, $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$, with the degree of $\mathbb{H}_n^\mu$ equal n. Thus $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_m^\mu(x)\mathbb{H}_n^\mu(x)e^{-x^2}|x|^{2\mu}\,dx = 0,\ m \neq n$. These polynomials can be exhibited in terms of certain confluent hypergeometric polynomials, or in terms of certain generalized Laguerre polynomials.

We refer to Erdélyi [15, Vol 1] for the definition and properties of the confluent hypergeometric function Φ , and generally of other special functions. The m-th confluent hypergeometric polynomial with parameter $\gamma + 1 > 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(-m, \gamma+1, x) :=& \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(k+\gamma+1)} x^k,\\ \text{which} \quad =& \frac{m!\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(m+\gamma+1)} L_m^\gamma(x).\end{aligned}$$

Key words and phrases. generalized Hermite polynomials, Mehler formula, Rodrigues formula, generalized Fourier transform,generalized translation, Bose-like oscillator.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Necessarily $\mathbb{H}^{\mu}_{2m}(x) = c_{2m}\Phi(-m, \mu + \frac{1}{2}, x^2)$ and $\mathbb{H}^{\mu}_{2m+1}(x) = c_{2m+1}x\Phi(-m, \mu + \frac{3}{2}, x^2)$, where $m \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2 \dots\}$, and the $c_{.}$ are real constants. (See Chihara [5,p43,p157].)

In his Ph.D. thesis Chihara [4] normalized these polynomials so that the coefficient of x^n in $\mathbb{H}^{\mu}_n$ is 2^n. Others studying these polynomials, in general with varying normalizations, are Dickinson and Warski [10] and Dutta, Chatterjea, More [13]. We shall set down a different normalization, one that is appropriate for our applications. We shall denote the Chihara polynomials by $\{\mathbb{H}^{\mu}_n\}^{\infty}_0$ and our class by $\{H^{\mu}_n\}^{\infty}_0$.

We study the generalized Hermite polynomials in section 2. In section 3 we define a relevant Fourier transform and heat equation, and in section 4 a relevant translation operator. Finally, in section 5, we study some basic aspects of the Bose-like oscillator calculus which intrinsically connect with the earlier sections. It will develop that the Bose-like oscillator calculus is a remarkable, fully structured generalization of the calculus associated with the quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator, that is, the Boson calculus. Our main result, Theorem 5.12, is a generalization of the von Neumann uniqueness theorem to the Bose-like oscillator calculus.

2. GENERALIZED HERMITE POLYNOMIALS

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of complex numbers and let $\mathcal{C}_o = \mathcal{C} \setminus \{-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, \dots\}$.

2.1 DEFINITION. *Suppose* $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_o$. *The* **generalized Hermite polynomials** $\{H^{\mu}_n\}^{\infty}_0$ *are defined for n even by*

(2.1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mu}_{2m}(x) &:= (-1)^m \frac{(2m)!}{m!}\Phi(-m, \mu + \tfrac{1}{2}, x^2) \\ &= (-1)^m \frac{(2m)!}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(k + \mu + \frac{1}{2})} x^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

They are defined for n odd by

(2.1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mu}_{2m+1}(x) &:= (-1)^m \frac{(2m+1)!}{m!} \frac{x}{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} \Phi(-m, \mu + \frac{3}{2}, x^2) \\ &= (-1)^m \frac{(2m+1)!}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(k + \mu + \frac{3}{2})} x^{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 ON H_n^μ, γ_μ, AND $\mathbf{e}_\mu$.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2m}^{\mu}(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\frac{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2})}\mathbb{H}_{2m}^{\mu}(x) \\ &= (-1)^m(2m)!\frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2})}L_m^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(x^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2m+1}^{\mu}(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\frac{\Gamma(m+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{3}{2})}\mathbb{H}_{2m+1}^{\mu}(x) \\ &= (-1)^m(2m+1)!\frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{3}{2})}xL_m^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(x^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.2}$$

We list the first few generalized Hermite polynomials: $H_0^\mu(x) = 1$,

$$H_1^\mu(x) = (1+2\mu)^{-1}2x, \qquad H_2^\mu(x) = (1+2\mu)^{-1}4x^2 - 2$$

$$H_3^\mu(x) = (1+2\mu)^{-1}(3+2\mu)^{-1}24x^3 - (1+2\mu)^{-1}12x$$

$$H_4^\mu(x) = \big((1+2\mu)(3+2\mu)\big)^{-1}48x^4 - (1+2\mu)^{-1}48x^2 + 12.$$

This class of generalized Hermite polynomials has a rather nice generating function formula involving the confluent hypergeometric function Φ . If $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_o$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_\mu(x) &:= e^x\Phi(\mu, 2\mu+1, -2x), \qquad \text{which} \\ &= \Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})(2/x)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\big(I_{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(x) + I_{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(x)\big) \\ &= (2x)^{\frac{1}{2}-\mu}M_{-\frac{1}{2},\mu}(2x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.3}$$

where I_μ is the modified Bessel function and $M_{\cdot\cdot}$ is the Whittaker function. $\mathbf{e}_\mu$ plays the role of a generalized exponential function in what follows, and indeed $\mathbf{e}_0(x) = e^x$. $\mathbf{e}_\mu$ is an entire function, say,

$$\mathbf{e}_\mu(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^m}{\gamma_\mu(m)}, \tag{2.2.4}$$

where the power series representation for the associated Bessel function yields

$$(2.2.5)\quad \gamma_\mu(2m) = \frac{2^{2m} m! \Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})} = (2m)! \frac{\Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})}, \text{ and}$$

(2.2.6)

$$\gamma_\mu(2m+1) = \frac{2^{2m+1} m! \Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})} = (2m+1)! \frac{\Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m+\frac{3}{2})}.$$

γ_μ plays the role of a generalized factorial. We list a few of the $\gamma_\mu : \gamma_\mu(0) = 1$, $\gamma_\mu(1) = 1 + 2\mu$, $\gamma_\mu(2) = (1 + 2\mu)2$, $\gamma_\mu(3) = (1 + 2\mu)2(3 + 2\mu)$, $\gamma_\mu(4) = (1 + 2\mu)2(3 + 2\mu)4$, and $\gamma_\mu(5) = (1 + 2\mu)2(3 + 2\mu)4(5 + 2\mu)$. We note the recursion relation for the γ_μ :

$$(2.2.7)\qquad \gamma_\mu(n+1) = (n+1+2\mu\theta_{n+1})\gamma_\mu(n), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where θ_{n+1} is defined to be 0 if n + 1 is even and 1 if n + 1 is odd. It follows from (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(2.2.8)\qquad H_n^\mu(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \frac{(-1)^k (2x)^{n-2k}}{k! \gamma_\mu(n-2k)}.$$

We note that the coefficient of x^n in the expansion of H_n^μ is $2^n n!/\gamma_\mu(n)$. We set down for later reference integral expressions for the beta function $B(\cdot,\cdot)$.

2.3 LEMMA. *i) Suppose* $\mu > 0\,, \alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *and* $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

$$(2.3.1)\qquad B(n+\alpha+\tfrac{1}{2},\mu) = \int_{-1}^{1} t^{2n}|t|^{2\alpha}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^\mu dt$$

$$(2.3.2)\qquad B(n+\alpha+\tfrac{3}{2},\mu) = \int_{-1}^{1} t^{2n+1}|t|^{2\alpha}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^\mu dt$$

$$(2.3.3)\qquad \frac{\gamma_\alpha(n)}{\gamma_{\mu+\alpha}(n)} = \frac{1}{B(\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\mu)} \int_{-1}^{1} t^n|t|^{2\alpha}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^\mu dt$$

$$(2.3.4)\qquad \mathbf{e}_{\mu+\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\mu)} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathbf{e}_\alpha(xt)|t|^{2\alpha}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^\mu dt$$

$$(2.3.5)\qquad \mathbf{e}_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{2},\mu)} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{xt}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^\mu\, dt$$

(2.3.6) *ii) If now* $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$e^x = \frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{2}-\mu,\mu)} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathbf{e}_{-\mu}(xt)|t|^{-2\mu}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^{\mu}dt$$

(2.3.7)
iii) Suppose $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, $\mu > -1$ *and* $\mu+\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$. *Then* $\mathbf{e}_{\mu+\alpha}(x) = \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}(x) +$

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{B(\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\mu+1)} \int_{-1}^{1} \big(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}(xt) - \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}(x)\big)|t|^{2\alpha}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^{\mu}dt$$

Proof. Start with the usual integral representation for the beta function, $B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}\,dt$. Use this to then derive (2.3.1) and (2.3.2). (2.3.3) and (2.3.4) follow from this and (2.2.5), (2.2.6), (2.2.4). Set $\alpha = -\mu$ in (2.3.4) to get (2.3.6) (2.3.7) is obtained from (2.3.4) by analytically continuing μ. We use the functional equation $(x+y)B(x,y+1) = yB(x,y)$ for the beta function. The rest follow easily.

Next we associate with the generalized exponential function $\mathbf{e}_\mu$ a generalized derivative operator $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$. These objects are special cases of functions and operators set down by C.F. Dunkl in his work on root systems associated with finite reflection groups. The papers [11] , [12] are particularly relevant here.

For the sake of simplicity we study the action of $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$ on entire functions.

2.4 DEFINITION. *i) The linear operator* $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$ *is defined on all entire functions* ϕ *on* $\mathcal{C}$ *by*

$$\mathfrak{D}_\mu\phi(x) = \phi'(x) + \frac{\mu}{x}\big(\phi(x) - \phi(-x)\big),\ x \in \mathcal{C}. \tag{2.4.1}$$

We use the notation $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}$ *when we wish to emphasize that* $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$ *is acting on functions of the variable* x. *Thus* $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}(\phi(x)) := (\mathfrak{D}_\mu\phi)(x)$.
ii) $\mathfrak{Q}$ *is defined on all functions* ϕ *on* $\mathcal{C}$ *by*

$$\mathfrak{Q}\phi(x) = x\phi(x). \tag{2.4.2}$$

2.5 PROPERTIES OF $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$, $\mathbf{e}_\mu$, AND H_n^μ. *Suppose* $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_o$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, z \in \mathcal{C}$ *and* ϕ, ψ *are entire functions.*

$$(\mathfrak{D}_\mu^2\phi)(x) = \phi''(x) + \frac{2\mu}{x}\phi'(x) - \frac{\mu}{x^2}\big(\phi(x) - \phi(-x)\big) \tag{2.5.1}$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_\mu^j : x^n \longmapsto \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\gamma_\mu(n-j)} x^{n-j}, j = 0,1,\ldots,n; \quad \mathfrak{D}_\mu^j : 1 \longmapsto 0 \tag{2.5.2}$$

If ψ is an even entire function, then

$$\mathfrak{D}_\mu(\phi\psi) = \mathfrak{D}_\mu(\phi)\psi + \phi\mathfrak{D}_\mu(\psi) \tag{2.5.3}$$

$$H_n^\mu(x) = \frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{2},\mu)} \int_{-1}^{1} H_n(xt)(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^\mu dt \qquad \textit{if} \qquad \mu > 0. \tag{2.5.4}$$

Exponential property of $\mathbf{e}_\mu$ *:*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x} : \mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) \longmapsto \lambda \mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) \tag{2.5.5}$$

Differential equation for $\mathbf{e}_\mu$ *:*

$$x\mathbf{e}_\mu''(x) + (1+2\mu)\mathbf{e}_\mu'(x) - (1+x)\mathbf{e}_\mu(x) = 0 \tag{2.5.6}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_\mu''(x) = \mathbf{e}_\mu(x) - \frac{2\mu}{2\mu+1}\mathbf{e}_{\mu+1}(x) \tag{2.5.7}$$

Generating function for the H_n^μ *:*

$$\exp(-z^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(2xz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n^\mu(x)\frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{C}_o \tag{2.5.8}$$

Proof. (2.5.1) to (2.5.3) follow from the definition in (2.4.1), and also (2.2.7). To prove (2.5.4) substitute the expansion for $H_n = H_n^0$ provided by 2.1 in the right side of (2.5.4) and then employ (2.3.3) with $\alpha = 0$, so $\gamma_\alpha(n) = n!$.

We prove (2.5.5) using (2.5.2) . $\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty (\lambda x)^j/\gamma_\mu(j)$ is mapped by $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$ to $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda^j x^{j-1}/\gamma_\mu(j-1) = \lambda\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x)$.

For (2.5.6) refer to Slater [28,p94].

To prove (2.5.7) first assume $\mu > 0$ and check the result using (2.3.5) and the functional equation for the beta function. Then analytically continue μ to $\mathcal{C}_o$.

The generating function formula for the classical Hermite polynomials is $\exp(-z^2 + 2xz) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty H_n(x)z^n/n!$. Use this result, (2.5.4), and (2.3.7) to prove (2.5.8) for $\mu > 0$. The result for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_o$ follows by analytically continuing μ.

2.6 PROPERTIES OF H_μ. *Suppose* $n \in \mathbb{N},\ \lambda, x, z \in \mathcal{C},\ |z| < 1$.

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x} : H_n^\mu(\lambda x) \longrightarrow 2\lambda n H_{n-1}^\mu(\lambda x) \tag{2.6.1}$$

$$(2\mathfrak{Q} - \mathfrak{D}_\mu) H_n^\mu = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n+1)}{(n+1)\gamma_\mu(n)} H_{n+1}^\mu = (1 + \frac{2\mu\theta_{n+1}}{n+1}) H_{n+1}^\mu \tag{2.6.2}$$

Three term recursion: Set $H_{-1}^\mu(x) = 0$. *Then*

$$2nH_{n-1}^\mu + \frac{\gamma_\mu(n+1)}{(n+1)\gamma_\mu(n)} H_{n+1}^\mu = 2\mathfrak{Q} H_n^\mu \tag{2.6.3}$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}(e^{-\lambda^2 x^2} H_n^\mu(\lambda x)) = -\lambda e^{-\lambda^2 x^2} \frac{\gamma_\mu(n+1)}{(n+1)\gamma_\mu(n)} H_{n+1}^\mu(\lambda x) \tag{2.6.4}$$

Rodrigues formula:

$$(-1)^n e^{\lambda^2 x^2} \mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}^n e^{-\lambda^2 x^2} = \lambda^n \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{n!} H_n^\mu(\lambda x) \tag{2.6.5}$$

$$H_n^\mu = \frac{n!}{\gamma_\mu(n)} (2\mathfrak{Q} - \mathfrak{D}_\mu)^n H_0^\mu \tag{2.6.6}$$

Inversion formula:

$$\frac{(2x)^n}{\gamma_\mu(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \frac{H_{n-2k}^\mu(x)}{k!(n-2k)!} \tag{2.6.7}$$

Mehler formula:

(2.6.8)

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{2^n (n!)^2} H_n^\mu(x) H_n^\mu(y) z^n = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\big(-(x^2+y^2)\frac{z^2}{1-z^2}\big) \mathbf{e}_\mu(2xy\frac{z}{1-z^2})$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\gamma_\mu(2n)}{(2n)!} H_{2n}^\mu(x) \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (\frac{z}{2})^{2n} = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(\frac{-x^2 z^2}{1-z^2}). \tag{2.6.9}$$

Proof. Apply $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}$ to both sides of (2.5.8) with $'x'$ replaced by $'\lambda x'$. Then, using (2.5.5), $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}H_n^\mu(\lambda x)z^n/n! = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}2\lambda nH_{n-1}^\mu(\lambda x)z^n/n!$, and thus (2.6.1) follows.

$2xH_n^\mu(x) - 2nH_{n-1}^\mu(x) = (1+2\mu\theta_{n+1}/(n+1))H_{n+1}^\mu(x)$ follows, upon separately considering the even and odd polynomials, from (2.2.8) and derives (2.6.3). From this and (2.6.1) we deduce (2.6.2).

Use (2.5.3) to infer that $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}(\exp(-x^2)H_n^\mu(x)) = \big((-2x+\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x})H_n^\mu(x)\big)e^{-x^2}$, which by (2.6.1) and (2.6.3) equals the right side of (2.6.4) with $\lambda = 1$. (2.6.4) for general λ follows easily by the easily derived chain rule formula $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x} : f(\lambda x) \longrightarrow \lambda(\mathfrak{D}_\mu f)(\lambda x)$.

(2.6.5) is proved by induction using (2.6.1). Note that $H_0^\mu(x) = 1$.

(2.6.6) is proved by induction using (2.6.2).

We prove (2.6.7): $\mathbf{e}_\mu(2xz) = e^{z^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}H_n^\mu(x)z^n/n!$, so $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(2xz)^n/\gamma_\mu(n) = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}z^{2j}/j!)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}H_n^\mu(x)z^n/n!$. Equate like powers of z to deduce (2.6.7).

Use (2.2.1), (2.2.2),(2.2.5), (2.2.6) and the bilateral generating function for the Laguerre polynomials Erdélyi [15 vol 2, p189] to prove that the left side of (2.6.8) equals

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\big(n!\Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})/\Gamma(n+\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})\big)L_n^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(x^2)L_n^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(y^2)z^{2n}&\\ +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\big(n!\Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})/\Gamma(n+\mu+\tfrac{3}{2})\big)xyL_n^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(x^2)L_n^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(y^2)z^{2n+1}&\\ =\big(\frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{(1-z^2)}\big)\exp\big(-(x^2+y^2)\frac{z^2}{1-z^2}\big)(xyz)^{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg(I_{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(2xy\frac{z}{1-z^2})&\\ +I_{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}((2xy\frac{z}{1-z^2})\Bigg)&,\end{aligned}$$

which by (2.2.3) equals the right side of (2.6.8).

To derive (2.6.9) set $y = 0$ in Mehler's formula (2.6.8) and note from Definition 2.1 that $H_{2n}^\mu(0) = (-1)^n\frac{(2n)!}{n!}$ and $H_{2n+1}^\mu(0) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.7 FURTHER PROPERTIES OF H_n^μ.

$$\exp(-y^2\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}^2)x^n = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{n!}H_n^\mu(\frac{x}{2y})y^n, \quad 0 \neq y \in \mathcal{C}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.7.1}$$

$$(2.7.2) \quad \exp(\frac{1}{4}t\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mu,x})\big(\exp(-\alpha x^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(2zx)\big) = (1+\alpha t)^{-\mu-1/2}\exp(\frac{tz^2}{1+\alpha t})\exp(-\frac{\alpha x^2}{1+\alpha t})\mathbf{e}_\mu(\frac{2zx}{1+\alpha t}), \quad \Re\alpha > 0,\ \Re t > 0,\ x \in R,\ z \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Proof. We prove (2.7.1). We deduce from (2.5.5) that $\exp(-y^2\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mu,x})\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) = \exp(-\lambda^2 y^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x)$, so

$$\exp(-y^2\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mu,x})\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^n x^n}{\gamma_\mu(n)} = \exp(-\lambda^2y^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(2\lambda y\frac{x}{2y}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}H^\mu_n(\frac{x}{2y})\frac{y^n\lambda^n}{n!}.$$

(2.7.1) follows by equating the coefficients of λ^n.

Assume $|2vy| < 1$ and use (2.7.1) and (2.6.8) to obtain

$$\exp(-y^2\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mu,x})\exp(-v^2x^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(2uvx) = \exp(-y^2\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mu,x})\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}H^\mu_n(u)\frac{(vx)^n}{n!} =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{n!}H^\mu_n(u)H^\mu_n(\frac{x}{2y})\frac{y^nv^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{(n!)^2 2^n}H^\mu_n(u)H^\mu_n(\frac{x}{2y})(2yv)^n =$$

$$\frac{1}{(1-4v^2y^2)^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}}\exp\big((-u^2-\frac{x^2}{4y^2})\frac{4v^2y^2}{1-4v^2y^2}\big)\mathbf{e}_\mu(\frac{2uvx}{1-4v^2y^2})$$

Next set $t = -4y^2$, $z = uv$, and $\alpha = v^2$. Thus (2.7.2) holds at least if $|\alpha t| < 1$. (2.7.2) follows by analytic continuation for the parameters.

The identities (2.7.1) and (2.7.2) are generalizations of classical Hermite polynomial identities set down, using Boson calculus techniques, by J.D. Louck [20].

The generalized heat equation problem

$$(2.7.3) \qquad \mathfrak{D}^2_{\mu,x}\psi(x,t) = \frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial t}, \text{ with } \psi(x,0) = \phi(x),\ t \geq 0,\ x \in \mathfrak{C},$$

where ϕ is given, has the formal solution $\exp(t\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mu,x})\phi(x)$. This problem is related to the work [6] of Cholewinski and Haimo and reduces to it when the function ϕ is assumed to be even. From (2.7.1) we see that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.7.4) \qquad \exp(t\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mu,x})x^n = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{n!}H^\mu_n(\frac{x}{2i\sqrt{t}})(i\sqrt{t})^n = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{n!}\sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]}\frac{x^{n-2k}t^k}{k!\gamma_\mu(n-2k)}$$

The functions given by (2.7.4) are for even integers n the generalized heat polynomials of Cholewinski and Haimo [6] and [8,section 14].

We shall pursue the $L^2_\mu(R)$ theory for the generalized heat equation in section 3.

3. THE GENERALIZED FOURIER TRANSFORM

3.1 DEFINITION. *i) $L^2_\mu(R)$ is the Hilbert space of Lebesgue measurable functions f on R with*

$$\|f\|_\mu := \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|^2 |t|^{2\mu}\, dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

ii) The **generalized Fourier transform operator** $\mathcal{F}_\mu, \quad \mu > -\frac{1}{2}$ *is defined on the linear span $\mathfrak{S}$ of $\{\exp(-x^2)x^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $L^2_\mu(R)$ by*

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu f(x) := \left(2^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})\right)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_\mu(-ixt) f(t) |t|^{2\mu} dt \tag{3.1.1}$$

This transform appears in the physics literature on Bose-like oscillators, [24, p294] and [22], and as a special case of a general transform in Dunkl [12].

We see from (2.2.3) that if x is real,

$$\mathbf{e}_\mu(-ix) = \Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})2^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{J_{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(x) - iJ_{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(x)}{x^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}} =, \quad say, \quad \mathbf{c}_\mu(x) - i\mathbf{s}_\mu(x), \tag{3.1.2}$$

where $\mathbf{c}_\mu$ is real and even and $\mathbf{s}_\mu$ is real and odd. The integral in (3.1.1) is well-defined since

$$|\mathbf{e}_\mu(-ix)| \leq C_\mu\big(|x|^{|\mu|} + 1\big) \text{ where } C_\mu \in R \text{ if } -\tfrac{1}{2} < \mu < 0, \tag{3.1.3}$$

$$\text{and } |\mathbf{e}_\mu(-ix)| \leq 1 \text{ if } \mu \geq 0. \tag{3.1.4}$$

(3.1.3) is proved using the asymptotic formula for Bessel functions [30, Chapt 7] and (3.1.4) follows easily from (2.3.5). (2.3.5) implies that $\mathbf{e}_\mu(-ix)$ is a positive definite function of x. Thus the following result follows. In the limiting case $\mu = 0$ the result degenerates into the obvious $cos^2(x) + sin^2(x) \leq 1$.

3.2 REMARK. *Suppose $\mu > 0$. Then*

$$\left(J_{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(x)\right)^2 + \left(J_{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(x)\right)^2 \le \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})^2}|x/2|^{2\mu-1} \tag{3.2.1}$$

for $x \in R$. Equality holds in (3.2.1) if and only if $x = 0$.

Proof. Use (3.1.4) and (3.1.2.).

3.3 SOME FOURIER TRANSFORM INTEGRALS. *Suppose $\Re\lambda > 0$.*

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbf{c}_\mu(xt)\exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}\lambda t^2)t^{2\mu}\,dt = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{2\lambda^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}}\exp(-x^2/(4\lambda)) \tag{3.3.1}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbf{e}_\mu(-ixt)\exp(-\lambda t^2)|t|^{2\mu}\,dt = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\lambda^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}}\exp(-x^2/(4\lambda)) \tag{3.3.2}$$

(3.3.3)

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbf{e}_\mu(-ixt)t^n\exp(-\lambda t^2)|t|^{2\mu}\,dt = (-\frac{i}{2})^n\frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}n+\frac{1}{2}+\mu}}\frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{n!}\exp(-\frac{x^2}{4\lambda})H_n^\mu(\frac{x}{2\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}})$$

(3.3.4)

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbf{e}_\mu(-ixt)\mathbf{e}_\mu(iyt)\exp(-\lambda t^2)|t|^{2\mu}\,dt = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\lambda^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}}\exp(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{4\lambda})\mathbf{e}_\mu(xy/(2\lambda)), \qquad x, y \in \mathfrak{C}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbf{e}_\mu(-ixt)\exp(-\lambda^2t^2)H_n^\mu(\beta t)|t|^{2\mu}\,dt \tag{3.3.5}$$

$$= (-i)^n\Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})\lambda^{-2\mu-1}\left((\beta/\lambda)^2-1\right)^{n/2}\exp(-x^2/(4\lambda^2))H_n^\mu\left(\frac{\beta x}{2\lambda(\beta^2-\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right), \qquad \text{if} \quad \beta^2 > \lambda^2 > 0.$$

(3.3.6)

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbf{e}_\mu(-ixt)\exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}t^2)H_n^\mu(t)|t|^{2\mu}\,dt = 2^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})(-i)^n\exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}x^2)H_n^\mu(x)$$

Proof. (3.3.1) is listed as a Hankel transform in Erdélyi [16 vol 2, p29]. (3.3.2) follows from (3.3.1) and (3.1.2). Apply $\mathfrak{D}^n_{\mu,x}$ to both sides of (3.3.2) and use the Rodrigues formula (2.6.5) to derive (3.3.3).(3.3.4) follows from (3.3.3) by use of (2.5.8) and (2.2.4).

(3.3.5) follows from (3.3.4) by use of the expansions $(\exp y^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(i2\beta yt) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty H^\mu_n(\beta t)(iy)^n/n!$ and $\exp(-A^2y^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(2By) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty H^\mu_n(B/A)(Ay)^n/n!$, which are a consequence of (2.5.8). (3.3.6) follows from (3.3.5) by setting $\lambda^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = 1$.

The Hilbert Space $L^2_\mu(R)$ has the inner product $\langle f, g\rangle_\mu := \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(t)g^*(t)|t|^{2\mu}\,dt$, where $f, g \in L^2_\mu(R)$ and g^* is the complex conjugate of g. Notice that $\|f\|_\mu = \langle f, f\rangle_\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, f \in L^2_\mu(R)$.

3.4 Definition. *i) Define the* **generalized Hermite functions** ϕ^μ_n *on* R *by*

$$\phi^\mu_n(x) := \left(\frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2^{n/2}n!}\exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}x^2)H^\mu_n(x), n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.4.1}$$

ii) Define the operators $\boldsymbol{P}_\mu, \boldsymbol{Q}_\mu, \boldsymbol{H}_\mu$ *and* $\boldsymbol{A}_\mu$ *on the finite span* $\mathfrak{S}$ *of the generalized Hermite functions by*

(3.4.2)

$$\boldsymbol{P}_\mu\phi(x) := -i(\phi'(x) + \frac{\mu}{x}(\phi(x) - \phi(-x)) \text{ , } \boldsymbol{Q}_\mu\phi(x) := \mathfrak{Q}\phi(x) = x\phi(x), \text{ and}$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_\mu := 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{Q}_\mu + i\boldsymbol{P}_\mu) \text{ , } \boldsymbol{H}_\mu := \tfrac{1}{2}({\boldsymbol{Q}_\mu}^2 + {\boldsymbol{P}_\mu}^2). \tag{3.4.3}$$

3.5 Properties of ϕ^μ_n and $\mathcal{F}_\mu$.

(3.5.1) $\{\phi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is a complete orthonormal set in* $L^2_\mu(R)$.

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu\phi^\mu_n = (-i)^n\phi^\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.5.2}$$

Mehler formula:

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \phi^\mu_n(x)\phi^\mu_n(y)z^n = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}\frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}}\exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2})\mathbf{e}_\mu(2xy\frac{z}{1-z^2}) \tag{3.5.3}$$

Proof. Suppose $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$. Then

$$\sum_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}} \langle \phi^\mu_j, \phi^\mu_k \rangle_\mu (\gamma_\mu(j))^{-1/2} (\gamma_\mu(k))^{-1/2} (2^{\frac{1}{2}}u)^j (2^{\frac{1}{2}}v)^k$$

$$= (\Gamma(\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}))^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}} H^\mu_j(t) H^\mu_k(t) \frac{u^j v^k}{j!k!} \exp(-t^2)|t|^{2\mu}\, dt,$$

which by (2.5.8)

$$= (\Gamma(\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}))^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-u^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(2ut) \exp(-v^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(2vt) \exp(-t^2)|t|^{2\mu}\, dt$$

which by (3.3.4) $\;= \mathbf{e}_\mu(2uv) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} 2^j u^j v^j / \gamma_\mu(j).$

Thus we see that $\{\phi^\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2_\mu(R)$. It is complete by much the same argument used to prove that the classical $\mu = 0$ Hermite functions form a complete orthogonal set in $L^2_0(R)$, see, for example, Ahiezer and Glazman, [1], Chapt 1, paragraph 11.

(2.6.8) implies (3.5.4) and (3.3.6) yields (3.5.3).

3.6 Theorem. *$\mathcal{F}_\mu$ is a unitary transformation on $L^2_\mu(R)$ with eigenvalues 1, -1, i, -i . $\{\phi^\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a complete orthonormal set of eigenvectors of $\mathcal{F}_\mu$. The inverse Fourier transform is given by :*

$$\text{(3.6.1)} \qquad \mathcal{F}^*_\mu f(x) = \left(2^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\mu + \tfrac{1}{2})\right)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_\mu(ixt) f(t)|t|^{2\mu} dt, \quad f \in \mathfrak{S}.$$

Proof. The first statement is a direct consequence of 3.5. From (3.5.3) we see that $\mathcal{F}^\star_\mu \phi^\mu_n = i^n \phi^\mu_n$, and it follows that $(\mathcal{F}^\star_\mu \psi)(t) = (\mathcal{F}_\mu \psi)(-t)$ for any $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then (3.6.1) follows from (3.1.1)

3.7 More on ϕ^μ_n and $\mathcal{F}_\mu$. *Define* $\phi^\mu_{-1} = 0$ *and assume* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(3.7.1) $$\boldsymbol{A}_\mu \phi^\mu_n = \Big(\frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\gamma_\mu(n-1)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^\mu_{n-1}$$

(3.7.2) $$\boldsymbol{A}^\star_\mu \phi^\mu_n = \Big(\frac{\gamma_\mu(n+1)}{\gamma_\mu(n)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^\mu_{n+1}$$

(3.7.3) $$\phi^\mu_n = (\gamma_\mu(n))^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{A}^{\star n} \phi^\mu_0$$

(3.7.4)
$$\boldsymbol{H}_\mu \phi^\mu_n = \tfrac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{P}^2_\mu + \boldsymbol{Q}^2_\mu)\phi^\mu_n = \tfrac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^\star + \boldsymbol{A}^\star\boldsymbol{A})\phi^\mu_n = (n + \mu + \tfrac{1}{2})\phi^\mu_n \text{ on } \mathfrak{S}.$$

(3.7.5) $$\boldsymbol{J}_\mu \phi^\mu_n = (-1)^n \phi^\mu_n$$

(3.7.6) $$i(\boldsymbol{P}_\mu \boldsymbol{Q}_\mu - \boldsymbol{Q}_\mu \boldsymbol{P}_\mu) = (\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^\star - \boldsymbol{A}^\star\boldsymbol{A}) = \boldsymbol{I}_\mu + 2\mu \boldsymbol{J}_\mu \text{ on } \mathfrak{S}.$$

(3.7.7) $$\mathcal{F}^\star_\mu \boldsymbol{Q}_\mu \mathcal{F}_\mu = \boldsymbol{P}_\mu \text{ on } \mathfrak{S}$$

Here $\boldsymbol{I}_\mu$ *is the identity operator and* $\boldsymbol{J}_\mu$ *is the unitary involution defined by* $\boldsymbol{J}_\mu \phi(x) = \phi(-x)$, $\phi \in L^2_\mu(R)$, $x \in R$.

Proof. By use of (2.5.3) one obtains

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}\big(\exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}x^2)\phi(x)\big) = \exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}x^2)\big(\mathfrak{D}_\mu - \mathfrak{Q}_\mu\big)\phi(x)$$

for all smooth ϕ. Thus (3.7.1) and (3.7.2) follow from (2.6.1) and (2.6.2). (3.7.3) is a consequence of (3.7.2).

$\boldsymbol{P}_\mu$, $\boldsymbol{Q}_\mu$, $\boldsymbol{H}_\mu$ can be written in terms of $\boldsymbol{A}_\mu$, $\boldsymbol{A}^\star_\mu$, so (3.7.4) and (3.7.6) can be derived from (3.7.1) and (3.7.2). (3.7.5) is true since ϕ_{2n} is even and ϕ_{2n+1} is odd. Now, the multiplication operator $\mathfrak{Q}_\mu$ clearly has a unique closed extension to a selfadjoint operator on the set $\{f \in L^2_\mu(R) : \mathfrak{Q}f \in L^2_\mu(R)\}$, and this operator we name $\boldsymbol{Q}_\mu$. Then $\mathcal{F}^\star_\mu \boldsymbol{Q}_\mu \mathcal{F}_\mu$ is again a selfadjoint operator. One shows that (3.7.7) is true by using (3.5.2), (3.7.1) and (3.7.2).

A class of generalized harmonic and conjugate harmonic functions and a Hilbert transform operator associated with the generalized Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ was introduced and studied by Muckenhoupt and Stein in [21]. They sketch a proof of the following interesting generalization of the F. and M. Riesz theorem on the absolute continuity of analytic measures. If $\mu = 0$, then the result is the classical one.

Theorem 3.8 F. and M. Riesz theorem on absolute continuity of analytic measures. *Assume that* $\mu \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$, $a \in R$ *and* ν *is a complex Borel measure on* $R_a := [a, \infty)$ *such that* ν *is finite if* $\mu \in [0, \infty)$ *and*

$\int_{R_a}\big(|t|^{-\mu}+1\big)|\nu|(dt)<\infty$ *if* $\mu\in(-\frac{1}{2},0)$. *Assume* ν *is an analytic measure, that is,*

$$\int_{R_a}\boldsymbol{e}_\mu(ixt)\nu(dt)=0 \tag{3.8.1}$$

for all real x. Then ν *is absolutely continuous with respect to linear Lebesgue measure.*

Proof. See [21,p88] for the $a=0$ and $\mu>0$ case. In case $\mu=0$ then the theorem is the classical F. and M. Riesz theorem, [14,p45]. Our proof consists in showing that the theorem's hypotheses and (2.3.5), (2.3.6) imply that (3.8.1) holds with $\mu=0$.

Assume first that $-\frac{1}{2}<\mu<0$ and (3.8.1) holds. It follows from (2.3.6) that $\int_{R_a}e^{ixt}\nu(dt)=0$ for all real x, so ν is absolutely continuous by the classical result.

Assume next that $\mu>0$. Then an application of (2.3.7) yields $\int_{R_a}\mathbf{e}_{\mu_o}(ixt)\nu(dt)=0$ for some $\mu_o<\mu$, so repeated applications of (2.3.7) reduce the problem to the case when $\mu=0$.

We generalize the Gauss-Weierstrass operator semi-group of Hille and Phillips [18,p570] and continue to study the generalized heat equation (2.7.3) .

3.9 Definition. *For* $t>0$ *and* $\mu>-\frac{1}{2}$ *the* $L^2_\mu(R)$ *operator* $\boldsymbol{T}_\mu(t)$ *is defined by*

$$\big(\boldsymbol{T}_\mu(t)\phi\big)(x):=\frac{1}{(4t)^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}\int_R\exp(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{4t})\mathbf{e}_\mu(\frac{xy}{2t})\phi(y)|y|^{2\mu}\,dy, \qquad x,y\in R. \tag{3.9.1}$$

3.10 Theorem.

$$\boldsymbol{T}_\mu(t)\phi=\exp(-t\boldsymbol{P}^2_\mu)\phi \text{ for every } t>0 \text{ and } \phi\in L^2_\mu(R). \tag{3.10.1}$$

Proof. Assume $t>0$ and set $u(\cdot,t)=\exp(-t\boldsymbol{P}^2_\mu)\phi$, which by (3.7.5) equals $\mathcal{F}^*_\mu\exp(-t\boldsymbol{Q}^2_\mu)\mathcal{F}_\mu$. Then if $\phi\in L^2_\mu(R)$,

$$u(x,t)=$$

$$\big(2^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})\big)^{-2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathbf{e}_\mu(ix\tau)\exp(-t\tau^2)|\tau|^{2\mu}\big(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathbf{e}_\mu(-iy\tau)\phi(y)|y|^{2\mu}dy\big)d\tau=$$

$$\big(2^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\mu+\tfrac{1}{2})\big)^{-2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\big(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathbf{e}_\mu(-iy\tau)\mathbf{e}_\mu(ix\tau)\exp(-t\tau^2)|\tau|^{2\mu}d\tau\big)\phi(y)|y|^{2\mu}dy$$

which by (3.3.4) $=\big(\boldsymbol{T}_\mu(t)\phi\big)(x)$

3.11 THEOREM.

$$(3.11.1)\quad \boldsymbol{T}_\mu(t/4) : \big(\exp(-\alpha x^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(2zx)\big) \longrightarrow$$
$$(1+\alpha t)^{-\mu-1/2}\exp(\frac{tz^2}{1+\alpha t})\exp(-\frac{\alpha x^2}{1+\alpha t})\mathbf{e}_\mu(\frac{2zx}{1+\alpha t}),$$
$$\Re t > 0,\ z \in \mathcal{C},\ \Re\alpha > 0.$$

$$(3.11.2)\quad \boldsymbol{T}_\mu(t) : \exp(-\alpha x^2) \longrightarrow (1+4\alpha t)^{-\mu-1/2}\exp(-\frac{\alpha x^2}{1+4\alpha t}),$$
$$\Re t > 0,\ \Re\alpha > 0.$$

Proof. (3.11.1) is a consequence of (2.7.2) and (3.11.2) is obtained by setting $z = 0$.

3.12 THEOREM. *Suppose* $\phi \in L^2_\mu(R)$. *Then* $\psi(x,t) := (\boldsymbol{T}_\mu(t)\phi)(x)$ *satisfies*

$$(3.12.1)\qquad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{T}^2_\mu\psi = \mathfrak{D}^2_\mu\psi \in L^2_\mu(R)$$

$$(3.12.2)\qquad \textit{and}\ \lim_{t\searrow 0}\|\psi(\cdot,t)-\phi\|_\mu = 0.$$

Proof. By (3.7.5) $\psi(\cdot,t) = \exp(-t\boldsymbol{P}^2_\mu)\phi = \mathcal{F}^*_\mu\exp(-t\boldsymbol{Q}^2_\mu)\mathcal{F}_\mu\phi$. Since $\boldsymbol{Q}^2_\mu\exp(-t\boldsymbol{Q}^2_\mu)\phi \in L^2_\mu(R)$ for every $\phi \in L^2_\mu(R)$, it follows that $\boldsymbol{P}^2_\mu\exp(-t\boldsymbol{P}^2_\mu)\phi \in L^2_\mu(R)$ for every $\phi \in L^2_\mu(R)$. Thus we see that (3.12.1) holds.

$$\|\psi(\cdot,t)-\phi\|^2_\mu = \|\mathcal{F}^\star\exp(-t\boldsymbol{Q}^2_\mu)\mathcal{F}\phi-\phi\|^2_\mu = \|\exp(-t\boldsymbol{Q}^2_\mu)\mathcal{F}\phi-\mathcal{F}\phi\|^2_\mu$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\exp(-ty^2)-1|^2|(\mathcal{F}\phi)(y)|^2|y|^{2\mu}dy \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } y \searrow 0.$$

(3.12.2) follows.

3.13 COROLLARY. *Assume the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 3.12 , so* $\psi(t,x)$ *satisfies (3.12.1) and (3.12.2). i) Suppose that* ϕ *is also an even function. Then*

$$(3.13.1)\qquad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2\mu}{x}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}$$

ii) Suppose that ϕ *is also an odd function. Then*

$$(3.13.2)\qquad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2\mu}{x}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} - \frac{2\mu}{x^2}\psi$$

Proof. Use (2.5.1) and (3.12).

4. GENERALIZED TRANSLATION

4.1 DEFINITION. *i) The* **generalized translation operator** *$\mathfrak{T}_y$, $y \in R$ is defined by*

$$\mathfrak{T}_y \phi := \mathbf{e}_\mu(y \mathfrak{D}_\mu)\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{\gamma_\mu(n)} \mathfrak{D}_\mu^n \phi$$

for all entire functions ϕ on $\mathcal{C}$ for which the series converges pointwise.

ii) The linear operator $\mathcal{T}_y$, $y \in R$ is defined on $L^2_\mu(R)$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_y \phi := \mathbf{e}_\mu(iy\boldsymbol{P}_\mu)\phi. \tag{4.1.1}$$

We use the notation $\mathfrak{T}_{y,x}$ when we wish to emphasize the functional dependence on the variable x.

*iii) The $\mu-$***binomial coefficients** *are defined by*

$$\binom{n}{k}_\mu := \gamma_\mu(n)/\big(\gamma_\mu(j)\gamma_\mu(n-j)\big), \quad k = 0, \ldots, n,\, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.1.2}$$

*iv) The $\mu-$***binomial polynomials** *$\{p_{n,\mu}(\cdot,\cdot)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are defined by*

$$p_{n,\mu}(x,y) := \mathfrak{T}_{y,x} x^n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^j}{\gamma_\mu(j)} \mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}^j x^n. \tag{4.1.3}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{T}_y = \mathfrak{T}_y$ for almost all real y on the class of $L^2_\mu(R)$ entire functions of the form $\{p(x)\exp(-\lambda x^2) : p$ is a complex polynomial and $\lambda > 0\}$.

4.2 PROPERTIES OF $\mathfrak{T}_y$. *μ-binomial expansion :*

$$p_{n,\mu}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_\mu x^j y^{n-j}. \tag{4.2.1}$$

The first few $\mu-$binomial polynomials are 1, $\quad x+y$, $\quad x^2 + \frac{2}{1+2\mu}xy + y^2$ *, and* $x^3 + \frac{3+2\mu}{1+2\mu}(x^2y + xy^2) + y^3$, $\quad x^4 + 4\frac{1}{1+2\mu}(x^3y + xy^3) + 2\frac{3+2\mu}{1+2\mu}x^2y^2 + y^4$. *Thus it is clear that $\mathfrak{T}_y$ in general does not take nonnegative functions into nonnegative functions. Consider, for example,* $(x-1)^2$.

$$\mathfrak{T}_{y,x}\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) = \mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda y)\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x), \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{C} \tag{4.2.2}$$

Generating function :

$$\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x)\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n,\mu}(x,y)}{\gamma_\mu(n)} \lambda^n, \qquad \text{if} \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{C}. \tag{4.2.3}$$

(4.2.4)
$$\mathcal{T}_y : \exp(-\lambda x^2) \longmapsto \exp(-\lambda(x^2+y^2))\mathbf{e}_\mu(-2\lambda xy) \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda > 0$$
(4.2.5)
$$\mathcal{T}_y : x\exp(-\lambda x^2) \longmapsto (x+y)\exp(-\lambda(x^2+y^2))\mathbf{e}_\mu(-2\lambda xy) \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda > 0$$

Proof. Use (2.5.2) so

$$p_{n,\mu}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^j}{\gamma_\mu(j)} \mathfrak{D}^j_{\mu,x} x^j = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_\mu(n)/\big(\gamma_\mu(j)\gamma_\mu(n-j)\big) x^j y^{n-j},$$

which is equivalent to (4.2.1).

$$\mathfrak{T}_{y,x}\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) = \mathbf{e}_\mu(y\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x})\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{\gamma_\mu(n)} \mathfrak{D}^n_{\mu,x}\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n y^n}{\gamma_\mu(n)} \mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x) = \mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda y)\mathbf{e}_\mu(\lambda x)$$

This proves (4.2.2).
(4.2.3) is a consequence of (4.2.2) and (4.1.3) . (4.2.4) follows from (2.6.5),(2.5.8) since:

Set $\lambda = \alpha^2$. Then $\mathfrak{T}_y : \exp(-\alpha^2 x^2) \longmapsto \mathbf{e}_\mu(y\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x})\exp(-\alpha^2 x^2)$

$$= \exp(-\alpha^2 x^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{\gamma_\mu(n)} \mathfrak{D}^n_{\mu,x} \exp(-\alpha^2 x^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\alpha^n y^n}{n!} H^\mu_n(\alpha x)$$
$$= \exp(-\alpha^2 x^2)\exp(-\alpha^2 y^2)\mathbf{e}_\mu(-2\alpha^2 xy)$$

(4.2.5) follows when one applies $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu,x}$ to both sides of (4.2.4).

In the rest of section 4 we assume $\mu > 0$. Define the probability measure α_μ and the function $\widetilde{\omega}$ by

$$\alpha_\mu(dt) := \frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{2},\mu)}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^\mu\, dt, \quad t \in (-1,1), \tag{4.2.6}$$

$$\widetilde{\omega}(t) := (x^2 + 2xyt + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in [-1,1] \text{ and } x, y \in R. \tag{4.2.7}$$

4.3 Lemma. *Suppose ϕ is an $L^\infty(R)$ function. Then*

$$\mathfrak{T}_{y,x}(\phi(x)) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-1}^{1}\big(1+\frac{x+y}{\widetilde{\omega}(t)}\big)\phi(\widetilde{\omega}(t))\,\alpha_\mu(dt)+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{-1}^{1}\big(1-\frac{x+y}{\widetilde{\omega}(t)}\big)\phi(-\widetilde{\omega}(t))\,\alpha_\mu(dt) \tag{4.3.1}$$

Proof. It follows from (4.2.4),(4.2.5) and (2.3.5) that

$$(\mathfrak{T}_y\phi)(x) = \int_{-1}^{1}\psi\big(\widetilde{\omega}(t)\big)\,\alpha_\mu(dt), \qquad (\mathfrak{T}_y\psi)(x) = (x+y)\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{1}{\widetilde{\omega}(t)}\psi\big(\widetilde{\omega}(t)\big)\,\alpha_\mu(dt)$$

if ϕ is an even and ψ is an odd $L^\infty(R)$ function. Thus (4.3.1) follows.

4.4 Corollary. *Suppose $\mu > 0$ and ϕ is an entire function. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{T}_y\phi)(x) &= (\mathfrak{T}_x\phi)(y) \text{ for all real } x \text{ and } y\ .\\ \mathfrak{T}_{y,x}\big(x\phi(x)\big) &= (x+y)\mathfrak{T}_{y,x}(\phi(x)) \quad \text{if } \phi \text{ is even}\ .\\ p_{2n+1,\mu}(x,y) &= (x+y)p_{2n,\mu}(x,y),\ n\in\mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. These follow from (4.3.1).

4.5 Notation. *i) Suppose $x, y, \xi \in R$. Define*

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(x,y,\xi) &:= \frac{1}{16}\big((x+y)^2-\xi^2)(\xi^2-(x-y)^2\big)\\ \Xi &:= \{(x,y,\xi)\in R^3 : \Psi(x,y,\xi)>0\}\\ \Xi(x,y) &:= \{\xi\in R : \Psi(x,y,\xi)>0\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.5.1}$$

Thus

$$\Xi(x,y) = \big(-|x+y|, -|x-y|\big)\cup\big(|x-y|, |x+y|\big) \text{ if } xy>0$$

$$\Xi(x,y) = \big(-|x-y|), -|x+y|\big)\cup\big(|x+y|, |x-y|\big) \text{ if } xy<0.$$

ii) We note that Ψ is the homogeneous symmetric polynomial that is relevant in Heron's formula for the area of a triangle, and $\Psi(x,y,\xi) = \Psi(|x|,|y|,|\xi|)$. It appears in the expressions for the generalized translation operator that form a basis for the Bessel calculus studied by Cholewinski [8] *and by others. See* [2,p35-36] *and* [8] *for references but note that operator they study acts only on even* *functions or on functions on a half-line. The translation operator we will set down acts more generally on functions on R. iii) Suppose $x, y, \xi \in R$. Define $\Delta(x, y, \xi)$ to be the area of the triangle formed, if possible, with sides of length $|x|, |y|, |\xi|$, and 0 otherwise. Then Heron's formula, see* [9,p12] *states that*

$$\begin{aligned} &(4.5.2) \qquad && \Delta(x, y, \xi) = (\Psi(x, y, \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \textit{if } (x, y, \xi) \in \Xi \textit{ and} \\ & && \Delta(x, y, \xi) = 0 \quad \textit{if } (x, y, \xi) \notin \Xi \end{aligned}$$

iv) Define the measure $\beta_{\mu,x,y}$ on $\Xi(x, y)$ by

$$(4.5.3) \qquad \beta_{\mu,x,y}(d\xi) := \frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{2}, \mu)} \Big(\frac{\Delta(x, y, \xi)}{|xy|}\Big)^{2\mu} d\xi.$$

4.6 Lemma. *Assume $x, y \in R \setminus \{0\}$, $\phi, \psi \in L^\infty(R)$ with ϕ an even and ψ an odd function. Then*

$$(4.6.1) \qquad \int_{\Xi(x,y)} \frac{sgn(xy\xi)}{x + y - \xi} \phi(\xi)\, \beta_{\mu,x,y}(d\xi). = \int_{-1}^{1} \phi(\widetilde{\omega}(t)) \alpha_\mu(dt)$$

$$(4.6.2) \qquad \int_{\Xi(x,y)} \frac{sgn(xy\xi)}{x + y - \xi} \psi(\xi)\, \beta_{\mu,x,y}(d\xi) = (x + y) \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\psi(\widetilde{\omega}(t))}{\widetilde{\omega}(t)} \alpha_\mu(dt)$$

.

Proof. Set $\xi = \widetilde{\omega}(t) = (x^2 + y^2 + 2xyt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so $t = \frac{\xi^2 - x^2 - y^2}{2xy}$ Thus

$$1 + t = (\xi^2 - (x - y)^2)/(2xy), \quad 1 - t = ((x + y)^2 - \xi^2)/(2xy), \text{ and}$$

$$(1 - t^2)^\mu = \Big(\frac{2\Delta(x, y, \xi)}{|xy|}\Big)^{2\mu}.$$

First assume $xy > 0$. Then $B(\frac{1}{2}, \mu)$ times the right side of (4.6.1)

$$= \Big(\int_{-|x+y|}^{-|x-y|} + \int_{|x-y|}^{|x+y|}\Big) \frac{sgn(xy\xi)}{x + y - \xi} \phi(\xi)\, \beta_{\mu,x,y}(d\xi) \quad =$$

$$\int_{|x-y|}^{|x+y|} \frac{2\xi}{(x + y)^2 - \xi^2} \Big(\frac{2\Delta(x, y, \xi)}{|xy|}\Big)^{2\mu} \phi(\xi) d\xi = \int_{-1}^{1} \phi(\widetilde{\omega}(t))(1 - t)^{\mu-1}(1 + t)^\mu\, dt.$$

This implies (4.6.1) in case $xy > 0$. The case $xy < 0$ follows similarly. From (4.6.1) we deduce that the right term in (4.6.2) equals

$$(x + y) \int_{\Xi(x,y)} \frac{sgn(xy\xi)}{(x + y - \xi)\xi} \psi(\xi)\, \beta_{\mu,x,y}(d\xi)$$

$$= \int_{\Xi(x,y)} sgn(xy\xi) \Big(\frac{1}{x + y - \xi} + \frac{1}{\xi}\Big) \psi(\xi)\, \beta_{\mu,x,y}(d\xi) = \text{ the left side of (4.6.2).}$$

4.7 Theorem. *Suppose $\phi \in L^\infty(R)$, $\mu > 0$, and $x, y \in R \setminus \{0\}$.*

$$(\mathfrak{T}_y\phi)(x) == \int_{\Xi(x,y)} \frac{sgn(xy\xi)}{x+y-\xi}\phi(\xi)\,\beta_{\mu,x,y}(d\xi) \tag{4.7.1}$$

Proof. (4.7.1) holds for even and odd ϕ by Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.3. Thus it holds for all ϕ.

4.8 Theorem. *Suppose $\phi \in L^\infty(R)$ and $\mu > 0$.*

$$(\mathfrak{T}_y\phi)(x) = (\mathfrak{T}_x\phi)(y) \text{ for almost all real } x \text{ and } y \tag{4.8.1}$$

$$\|\mathfrak{T}_y\phi\|_\mu \leq \|\phi\|_\mu, \phi \in L^2_\mu(R), y \in R. \tag{4.8.2}$$

Proof. (4.8.1) is clearly implied by (4.7.1), and (4.8.2) by (3.1.4) and (4.1.1).

5. The Bose-like oscillator

Suppose that $\mathfrak{H}$ is a complex Hilbert space. We will be examining certain equations of motion and commutation relations that relate several unbounded operators. In order to avoid the pitfalls associated with formal computation involving unbounded operators [26,p270-274] we shall postulate the existence of a suitably tailored linear invariant set of analytic vectors.

Definition 5.1. *i)Suppose $\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are possibly unbounded selfadjoint operators on $\mathfrak{H}$. $\boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ are dominated* by $\boldsymbol{H}$ if

$\mathfrak{S}$ is a linear invariant set of analytic vectors for $\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q},$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$,

and $\mathfrak{S} := \bigcup\{\boldsymbol{E}((-n,n))\mathfrak{H} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $\boldsymbol{E}$ is the spectral measure of $\boldsymbol{H}$.

ii) We next specify $\boldsymbol{H}$. Let $V(\cdot)$ be a continuously differentiable real function on the real line with derivative $V'(\cdot)$ and specify the associated *Hamiltonian operator* $\boldsymbol{H}$ by $\boldsymbol{H} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{P}^2 + V(\boldsymbol{Q})$.

Then the *equations of motion associated with the Hamiltonian* are

($\mathbb{EM}$)

$$i[\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{H}] := i(\boldsymbol{PH} - \boldsymbol{HP}) = V'(\boldsymbol{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad i[\boldsymbol{Q},\boldsymbol{H}] := i(\boldsymbol{QH} - \boldsymbol{HQ}) = -\boldsymbol{P}$$

on $\mathfrak{S}$. In case $V(\boldsymbol{Q}) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{Q}^2$ the equations of motion are that of the *quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator.*

iii) Suppose $\boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ are selfadjoint operators that are dominated by the selfadjoint operator $\boldsymbol{H}$, where $\boldsymbol{H} := \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{P}^2 + \boldsymbol{Q}^2)$ on $\mathfrak{S}$, where $\mathfrak{S}$ is as in 5.1i). Then $(\mathfrak{H}, \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H})$ is a **Bose-like (quantum mechanical simple harmonic) oscillator** , *or a* **para-Bose oscillator** *if the equations of motion are*

$$i[\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{H}] = \boldsymbol{Q} \quad \textit{and} \quad i[\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H}] = -\boldsymbol{P}. \tag{5.1.1}$$

iv) The Bose-like oscillator is **irreducible** *if whenever* $\boldsymbol{B}$ *is an everywhere defined bounded operator on* $\mathfrak{H}$ *to* $\mathfrak{H}$ *such that*

$$\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{P})\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B}\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{P}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{Q})\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B}\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{Q}) \tag{5.1.2}$$

for all real λ *, then there exists* $c \in \mathcal{C}$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{B} = c\boldsymbol{I}$.

Remark 5.2. $\boldsymbol{Q}$ *is the* **position** , $\boldsymbol{P}$ *is the* **momentum** , $\boldsymbol{A} := 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{Q} + i\boldsymbol{P})$ *is the* **annihilation** *and* $\boldsymbol{A}^\star = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{Q} - i\boldsymbol{P})$ *is the* **creation** *operator.* $\boldsymbol{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{A}^\star\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^\star)$.

Remark 5.3. *i)By a quantum mechanical system consisting of a single particle moving in one dimension* we mean a triple of self adjoint operators $\boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ dominated by $\boldsymbol{H} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{P}^2 + V(\boldsymbol{Q})$ that satisfy the commutation relation

$$i[\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}] := i(\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{P}) = \boldsymbol{I} \text{ on } \mathfrak{S} \tag{$\mathbb{CR}$}$$

If $\mathbb{CR}$ holds, then the equations of motion $\mathbb{EM}$ holds on $\mathfrak{S}$.

Proof. The following computations are valid on $\mathfrak{S}$:

$$i[\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{H}] = i[\boldsymbol{P}, V(\boldsymbol{Q})] = V'(\boldsymbol{Q}), \text{ and}$$

$$2i[\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H}] = i[\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{P}^2] = i[\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{P}]\boldsymbol{P} + i\boldsymbol{P}[\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{P}] = -2\boldsymbol{P},$$

which imply $\mathbb{EM}$.

In 1950 E. P. Wigner [31] posed the question ‘Do the equations of motion determine the quantum mechanical commutation relations? ’He considered formal operator equations of motion of the form $\mathbb{EM}$. In the case where the equations of motion are that of a Bose-like oscillator, Wigner noted that there exists a one parameter family of inequivalent operator representations for position $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and momentum $\boldsymbol{P}$. There is now an extensive physical literature on these representations, see [24] and [22]. We shall give a self-contained treatment of the theory , and indicate their relationship to the generalized Fourier transform and generalized Hermite functions.

Our goal is to study a generalization of the Boson calculus. The *Boson calculus* is the collection of operators, functions, and analysis associated with the quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator. It is often studied using Lie group theory, by noting that $\mathbb{CR}$ gives rise to the Heisenberg group through the Weyl commutation relations. An alternate perspective to the Boson calculus appears in Glimm and Jaffe [17,Chapt1] and Biedenharn and Louck [3,Chapt5]. Our perspective uses operator equations and operator theory directly to implement the analysis, without emphasizing the Lie group aspects of the algebraic structure of the operator equations.

The generalization, the *Bose-like oscillator calculus,* is set down in Ohnucki and Kamefuchi [24,Chapt23] and in [22]. For a related calculus see Cholewinski [7].

From now on we consider a Bose-like oscillator and fix the notation of definition 5.1. We do not assume that $\mathbb{CR}$ holds.

5.4 ALTERNATE FORMULATIONS OF THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION. *The following statements are equivalent to (5.1.1):*

$$i[\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q}^2]=2\boldsymbol{Q} \quad \textit{and} \quad i[\boldsymbol{P}^2,\boldsymbol{Q}]=2\boldsymbol{P} \ \textit{on}\ \mathfrak{S}; \tag{5.4.1}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{H}]=\boldsymbol{A} \quad \textit{or} \quad [\boldsymbol{A}^\star,\boldsymbol{H}] \quad =-\boldsymbol{A}^\star \ \textit{on}\ \mathfrak{S}; \tag{5.4.2}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2}]=2\boldsymbol{A}^\star \quad \textit{or} \quad [\boldsymbol{A}^\star,\boldsymbol{A}^2] \quad =-2\boldsymbol{A} \ \textit{on}\ \mathfrak{S}. \tag{5.4.3}$$

Proof. (5.4.1)-(5.4.3) follow from (5.1.1) and 5.1, 5.2.

5.5 REMARK. *Suppose $n\in\mathbb{N}$. When considered on $\mathfrak{S}$:*

$$[\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{A}^\star]=i[\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q}] \ \textit{commutes with}\ \boldsymbol{A}^2,\boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2},\ \textit{and}\ \boldsymbol{P}^2,\boldsymbol{Q}^2,\boldsymbol{H}; \tag{5.5.1}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n)}]=2n\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n-1)}, \tag{5.5.2}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+1)}]=\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n)}(2n+[\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{A}^\star]); \tag{5.5.3}$$

$$i[\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q}^{2n}]=2n\boldsymbol{Q}^{2n-1}\ , \qquad i[\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q}^{2n+1}]=\boldsymbol{Q}^{2n}(2n+i[\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q}]); \tag{5.5.4}$$

$$i[\boldsymbol{P}^{2n},\boldsymbol{Q}]=2n\boldsymbol{P}^{2n-1}\ , \qquad i[\boldsymbol{P}^{2n+1},\boldsymbol{Q}]=\boldsymbol{P}^{2n}(2n+i[\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q}]) \tag{5.5.5}$$

Proof. (5.5.1) follows from 5.4 and the Jacobi identity

$$\big[[\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{Y}],\boldsymbol{Z}\big]+\big[[\boldsymbol{Y},\boldsymbol{Z}],\boldsymbol{X}\big]+\big[[\boldsymbol{Z},\boldsymbol{X}],\boldsymbol{Y}\big]=0,$$

which holds for suitably defined operators $\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{Z}$ selected from $\boldsymbol{A}^2, \boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2}, \boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{A}^{\star}$.

(5.5.2) is true if $n = 1$ by 5.4. Assume that it is true for n. Then $(2n+2)\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+1)} = (\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2n} - \boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2n}\boldsymbol{A})\boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2} + 2\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+1)}$ which equals $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+2)} - \boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2n}(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2} - 2\boldsymbol{A}^{\star})$. By (5.4.3) this $= [\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+2)}]$. This proves (5.5.2).

(5.5.3) is clearly true if for $n = 0$. Assume that it is true for n. Then $[\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+3)}] = \big(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+1)} - \boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+1)}\boldsymbol{A}\big)\boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2} + \boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+1)}\big(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2} - \boldsymbol{A}^{\star 2}\boldsymbol{A}\big)$. But this equals $\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+2)}\big(2n + [\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{A}^{\star}]\big) + \boldsymbol{A}^{\star(2n+1)}\big(2\boldsymbol{A}^{\star}\big)$, so (5.5.3) follows.

(5.5.4) and (5.5.5) are proved similarly.

5.6 LEMMA. *The following operator identities hold for all real* λ, ν.

$$\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{Q}\exp(-i\lambda\boldsymbol{H}) = \boldsymbol{Q}cos\lambda + \boldsymbol{P}sin\lambda \; on \; \mathfrak{S} \tag{5.6.1}$$

$$\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{P}\exp(-i\lambda\boldsymbol{H}) = -\boldsymbol{Q}sin\lambda + \boldsymbol{P}cos\lambda \; on \; \mathfrak{S} \tag{5.6.2}$$

$$\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{A}\exp(-i\lambda\boldsymbol{H}) = \exp(-i\lambda)\boldsymbol{A} \; on \; \mathfrak{S} \tag{5.6.3}$$

$$\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{H})\exp(i\nu\boldsymbol{Q})\exp(-i\lambda\boldsymbol{H}) = \exp\big(i\nu(\boldsymbol{Q}cos\lambda + \boldsymbol{P}sin\lambda)\big) \; on \; \mathfrak{H} \tag{5.6.4}$$

$$\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{H})\exp(i\nu\boldsymbol{P})\exp(-i\lambda\boldsymbol{H}) = \exp\big(i\nu(-\boldsymbol{Q}sin\lambda + \boldsymbol{P}cos\lambda)\big) \; on \; \mathfrak{H} \tag{5.6.5}$$

Proof. Let $F(\lambda)$ and $G(\lambda)$ equal the left sides of (5.6.1) and (5.6.2). Then

$$F'(\lambda) = -i\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{H})[\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H}]\exp(-i\lambda\boldsymbol{H}) = G(\lambda) \text{ and}$$
$$G'(\lambda) = -i\exp(i\lambda\boldsymbol{H})[\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{H}]\exp(-i\lambda\boldsymbol{H}) = -F(\lambda).$$

Also $F(0) = \boldsymbol{Q}$ and $G(0) = \boldsymbol{P}$. One obtains (5.6.1) and (5.6.2) by solving the system of differential equations for F and G on $\mathfrak{S}$. (5.6.3) follows from (5.6.1) and (5.6.2).

Since $\mathfrak{S}$ is a dense set of analytic vectors for $\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H}$ one deduces from (5.6.1) and (5.6.2) that (5.6.4) and (5.6.5) hold when acting on a fixed vector in $\mathfrak{S}$ provided $|\nu|$ is small. Both sides are groups of unitary operators so (5.6.4) and (5.6.5) hold generally.

From now on we assume that the Bose-like oscillator is irreducible.

5.7 STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR THE BOSE-LIKE OSCILLATOR, I. *Suppose that* $(\mathfrak{H}, \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H})$ *is an irreducible Bose-like oscillator. Then there exists a real number* $\mu \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ *and* $\phi_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ *as follows :*

$\boldsymbol{H}$ *has pure point spectra. The spectrum* $\sigma(\boldsymbol{H})$ *of* $\boldsymbol{H}$ *is given by*

$$\sigma(\boldsymbol{H}) = \{\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}, \mu + \tfrac{3}{2}, \mu + \tfrac{5}{2}, \dots\}. \tag{5.7.1}$$

$\mu + \frac{1}{2}$ *is the smallest eigenvalue of* $\boldsymbol{H}$. *Select* $\phi_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ *so* $\boldsymbol{H}\phi_0 = (\mu + \frac{1}{2})\phi_0$, $\|\phi_0\| = 1$.

$$\textit{Define } \phi_n := (\gamma_\mu(n))^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star n}\phi_0,\ n \in \mathbb{N} \textit{ and } \phi_{-1} = 0. \tag{5.7.2}$$

$$\{\phi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \textit{ is a complete orthonormal set in } \mathfrak{H}. \tag{5.7.3}$$

(5.7.4) - (5.7.9) hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{A}^m\boldsymbol{A}^{\star n}\phi_0 &= \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\gamma_\mu(n-m)}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(n-m)}\phi_0 \ \textit{ if } n \geq m \geq 0 \qquad (5.7.4)\\
&= 0 \textit{ if } m > n\\
\boldsymbol{A}^\star\boldsymbol{A}\phi_n &= (n + 2\mu\theta_n)\phi_n\\
\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^\star\phi_n &= (n + 1 + 2\mu\theta_{n+1})\phi_n\\
\boldsymbol{H}\phi_n &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^\star + \boldsymbol{A}^\star\boldsymbol{A})\phi_n = (n + \mu + \tfrac{1}{2})\phi_n. \qquad (5.7.5)
\end{aligned}$$

The commutation relation for the Bose-like oscillator:

$$i(\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{P}) = \boldsymbol{I} + 2\mu\boldsymbol{J} \ \textit{ on } \mathfrak{S},\ \textit{ where } \boldsymbol{J} := \exp\big(-\pi i(\boldsymbol{H} - (\mu + \tfrac{1}{2})\boldsymbol{I})\big). \tag{5.7.6}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}\boldsymbol{P} = -\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{J},\ \ \textit{and}\, \boldsymbol{J}\boldsymbol{Q} = -\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{J} \ \textit{ on } \mathfrak{S} \tag{5.7.7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}\phi_n = (-1)^n\phi_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.7.8}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \boldsymbol{J}^\star = \boldsymbol{J}^{-1},\ \textit{ and } \exp\big(-2\pi i(\boldsymbol{H} - \mu - \tfrac{1}{2})\big) = \boldsymbol{I}. \tag{5.7.9}$$

Proof. Consider the operator $\boldsymbol{J}_0 := \exp(-i\pi\boldsymbol{H})$ and assume that $\nu \in R$. Then from (5.6.4) and (5.6.5) we get $\boldsymbol{J}_0^\star \exp(i\nu\boldsymbol{Q})\boldsymbol{J}_0 = \exp(-i\nu\boldsymbol{Q})$ and $\boldsymbol{J}_0^\star \exp(i\nu\boldsymbol{P})\boldsymbol{J}_0 = \exp(-i\nu\boldsymbol{P})$. Thus $\boldsymbol{J}_o\boldsymbol{Q} = -\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{J}_o$ and $\boldsymbol{J}_o\boldsymbol{P} = -\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{J}_o$ on $\mathfrak{S}$. Also $\exp(i\nu\boldsymbol{Q})\boldsymbol{J}_0^2 = \boldsymbol{J}_0^2\exp(i\nu\boldsymbol{Q})$ and $\exp(i\nu\boldsymbol{P})\boldsymbol{J}_0^2 = \boldsymbol{J}_0^2\exp(i\nu\boldsymbol{P})$. It follows from definition 5.1 iv) that $\boldsymbol{J}_0^2 = c\boldsymbol{I}$ for some complex number c. But since $\boldsymbol{J}_0^2$ is a unitary operator necessarily $\boldsymbol{J}_0^2 = \exp(-2\pi i\alpha)\boldsymbol{I}$ for some real number α. Next set $\boldsymbol{J} = \exp(\pi i\alpha)\boldsymbol{J}_0 = \exp(-i\pi(\boldsymbol{H} - \alpha\boldsymbol{I}))$. Clearly (5.7.7) is true. Since $\boldsymbol{J}^2 = \exp(-2\pi i(\boldsymbol{H} - \alpha\boldsymbol{I})) = \boldsymbol{I}$, we deduce that (5.7.9) holds provided $\mu + \frac{1}{2} := \alpha$. When applied to (5.7.9) the spectral mapping theorem implies that $\sigma(\boldsymbol{H}) \subseteq \mu + \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of integers. Now, $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a non-negative operator, so we infer that (5.7.1) is valid if ‘ $='$ is replaced by ‘ $\subseteq'$. Clearly $\mu + \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$.

Select ϕ_0 and ϕ_n as in the statement of the theorem. From (5.4.2) we see that $\boldsymbol{AH}\phi_0 = (\boldsymbol{H} + \boldsymbol{I})\boldsymbol{A}\phi_0$, so $(\boldsymbol{H} - (\mu - \frac{1}{2})\boldsymbol{I})\boldsymbol{A}\phi_0 = 0$. But $\mu + \frac{1}{2}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $\boldsymbol{H}$, so $\boldsymbol{A}\phi_0 = 0$. Also $[\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{A}^\star]\phi_0 = \boldsymbol{AA}^\star\phi_0 = 2\boldsymbol{H}\phi_0 = (2\mu + 1)\phi_0$. Notice that $\boldsymbol{H}\phi_0 = (\mu + \frac{1}{2})\phi_0$ implies that $\boldsymbol{J}\phi_0 = \phi_0$.

From (5.5.2) and (5.5.3) we have $\boldsymbol{AA}^{\star n}\phi_0 - \boldsymbol{A}^{\star n}\boldsymbol{A}\phi_0 = (n + 2\mu\theta_n)\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(n-1)}\phi_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, so by (2.2.7) $\boldsymbol{AA}^{\star n}\phi_0 = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\gamma_\mu(n-1)}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(n-1)}\phi_0$. This in turn implies the first statements in (5.7.4), and the rest of (5.7.4) follows from this and (2.2.7). (5.7.5) is a consequence of (5.7.4).

Assume $m \leq n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, using (5.7.4), the inner product $\langle\phi_n, \phi_m\rangle = \big(\gamma_\mu(n)\gamma_\mu(m)\big)^{-1/2}\langle\boldsymbol{A}^{\star m}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star n}\phi_0, \phi_0\rangle = \delta_{m,n}$. Thus $\{\phi_n\}$ is an orthonormal set in $\mathfrak{H}$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the linear span of $\boldsymbol{A}^{\star n}\phi_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (5.7.4) implies that $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}^\star$ map $\mathfrak{M}$ into itself. Thus $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $\boldsymbol{P}$ map $\mathfrak{M}$ into itself, and since the oscillator is irreducible, necessarily the closure of $\mathfrak{M}$ equals $\mathfrak{H}$. Hence (5.7.3) is proved.

Finally $i(\boldsymbol{PQ} - \boldsymbol{QP})\phi_n = (\boldsymbol{AA}^\star - \boldsymbol{A}^\star\boldsymbol{A})\phi_n = (1 + 2\mu\theta_{n+1} - 2\mu\theta_n)\phi_n = (\boldsymbol{I} + 2\mu\boldsymbol{J})\phi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, proving the commutator identity in (5.7.6)

The non-negativity of $\boldsymbol{H}$ implied that $\mu + \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$. Suppose that $\mu + \frac{1}{2} = 0$. Then by (5.7.4) $\boldsymbol{A}\phi_0 = \boldsymbol{A}^\star\phi_0 = 0$. It follows that $\boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ both commute with the projection on ϕ_0, contradicting the irreducibility assumption of Definition 5.1iv) . It follows that necessarily $\mu \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$.

5.8 LEMMA. *Suppose* $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

$$2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{A}^\star\phi_0 = \boldsymbol{Q}\phi_0 = -i\boldsymbol{P}\phi_0 \quad \textit{and} \quad i[\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}]\phi_0 = (1 + 2\mu)\phi_0 \tag{5.8.1}$$

$$i[\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}^n]\phi_0 = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\gamma_\mu(n-1)}\boldsymbol{Q}^{n-1}\phi_0 \quad , \quad i[\boldsymbol{P}^n, \boldsymbol{Q}]\phi_0 = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\gamma_\mu(n-1)}\boldsymbol{P}^{n-1}\phi_0 \tag{5.8.2}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{A}^{\star n}]\phi_0 = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\gamma_\mu(n-1)}\boldsymbol{A}^{\star(n-1)}\phi_0 \tag{5.8.3}$$

Proof. (5.8.1) is true since $(\boldsymbol{Q} + i\boldsymbol{P})\phi_0 = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{A}\phi_0 = 0$, and $\boldsymbol{Q} - i\boldsymbol{P} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{A}^\star$. From (5.5.4) and (5.7.6) we obtain $i(\boldsymbol{PQ}^n - \boldsymbol{Q}^n\boldsymbol{P})\phi_0 = \big(n\boldsymbol{Q}^{n-1} + 2\mu\theta_n\boldsymbol{Q}^{n-1}\boldsymbol{J}\big)\phi_0$. By

(5.7.8) this yields the first equation in (5.8.2). The other equations in (5.8.1), (5.8.2) and (5.8.3) have similar proofs.

5.9 THEOREM. *Suppose $p(\cdot)$ is a complex polynomial and $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$ is the generalized differentiation operator of (2.4.1). Then*

$$i[\boldsymbol{P}, p(\boldsymbol{Q})]\phi_0 = (\mathfrak{D}_\mu p)(\boldsymbol{Q})\phi_0, \quad i[p(\boldsymbol{P}), \boldsymbol{Q}]\phi_0 = (\mathfrak{D}_\mu p)(\boldsymbol{P})\phi_0$$

$$[\boldsymbol{A}, p(\boldsymbol{A}^\star)]\phi_0 = (\mathfrak{D}_\mu p)(\boldsymbol{A}^\star)\phi_0$$

In case $p(x) = H_n^\mu(\lambda x)$ one has $(\mathfrak{D}_\mu p)(x) = 2\lambda n H_{n-1}^\mu(\lambda x)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. By (2.5.2) $p(x) = \sum c_n x^n$ is mapped by $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$ to $\sum \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{\gamma_\mu(n-1)} c_n x^{n-1}$, so the theorem follows easily from lemma 5.8. The last statement is proved in (2.6.1).

5.10 THEOREM. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following formulas hold: Rodrigues formula:*

$$\boldsymbol{P}^n\phi_0 = i^n \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{2^{n/2} n!} H_n^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{Q})\phi_0 = i^n \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{2^n n!} H_n^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{A}^\star)\phi_0 \tag{5.10.1}$$

Dual Rodrigues formula:

$$\boldsymbol{Q}^n\phi_0 = (-i)^n \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{2^{n/2} n!} H_n^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{P})\phi_0 = (-i)^n \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{2^n n!} H_n^\mu(i2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{A}^\star)\phi_0 \tag{5.10.2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{\star n}\phi_0 = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n)}{2^{n/2} n!} H_n^\mu(\boldsymbol{Q})\phi_0 \tag{5.10.3}$$

$$\phi_n = \frac{(\gamma_\mu(n))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{n/2} n!} H_n^\mu(\boldsymbol{Q})\phi_0 = (-i)^n \frac{(\gamma_\mu(n))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{n/2} n!} H_n^\mu(\boldsymbol{P})\phi_0 \tag{5.10.4}$$

Proof. We prove (5.10.1) using Theorem 5.9 and the three term recursion relation (2.6.3) written in the form

$$2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\gamma_\mu(n+1)}{(n+1)\gamma_\mu(n)} H_{n+1}^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) = x H_n^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) - 2^{\frac{1}{2}} n H_{n-1}^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}x). \quad \text{Then}$$

$i[\boldsymbol{P}, H_n^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{Q})]\phi_0 = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} n H_{n-1}^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{Q})\phi_0$, so $i\boldsymbol{P} H_n^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{Q})\phi_0 + \boldsymbol{Q} H_n^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{Q})\phi_0$ $= 2^{\frac{1}{2}} n H_{n-1}^\mu(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{Q})\phi_0$. The induction proof of (5.10.1) proceeds from this equation.

The remaining equations have similar proofs using 5.9 and (2.6.2).

5.11 STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR THE BOSE-LIKE OSCILLATOR, II. *Suppose that* $(\mathfrak{H}, \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H})$ *is an irreducible Bose-like oscillator, and* μ *and* ϕ_n *are as in Theorem 5.7. Define the unitary operator* $\mathcal{F}$ *on* $\mathfrak{H}$ *to* $\mathfrak{H}$ *by*

(5.11.1) $$\mathcal{F} = \exp\bigl(-\tfrac{1}{2}\pi i(\boldsymbol{H} - (\mu + \tfrac{1}{2})\boldsymbol{I})\bigr). \quad \textit{Then}$$

(5.11.2) $$\mathcal{F}^2 = \boldsymbol{J} \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^\star = \boldsymbol{J}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{J};$$

(5.11.3) $$\boldsymbol{P} = \mathcal{F}^\star \boldsymbol{Q} \mathcal{F} \ \textit{on}\ \mathfrak{S};$$

(5.11.4) $$\mathcal{F}\phi_n = (-i)^n \phi_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Compare the definitions of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}$ in (5.11.1) and (5.7.6) to derive (5.11.2). (5.11.3) comes from (5.6.1) with $\lambda = \pi/2$. The eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{H}$ are necessarily the eigenvectors of $\mathcal{F}$ and thus (5.11.4) is true.

We show, finally, that given any abstract irreducible Bose-like oscillator, there exists a number $\mu \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ such that the abstract Bose-like oscillator is unitarily equivalent to the concrete irreducible Bose-like oscillator on $L^2_\mu(R)$ specified in 3.4 to 3.7. Thus one has a generalization of the von Neumann uniqueness theorem [26,p275]. Formal aspects of the physical theory are detailed in Ohnuki and Kamefuchi [24], Chapter 23, entitled *The wave-mechanical representation for a Bose-like oscillator .*

5.12 REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR THE BOSE-LIKE OSCILLATOR. *Suppose* $(\mathfrak{H}, \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H})$ *is an irreducible Bose-like oscillator, and maintain the notation of Theorems 5.7 and 5.11. Define the unitary mapping* $\boldsymbol{U}$ *of* $\mathfrak{H}$ *onto the Hilbert space* $L^2_\mu(R)$ *by* $\boldsymbol{U} : \phi_n \longmapsto \phi^\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ *. Then* $\boldsymbol{U}$ *maps* $\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J},$ *and* $\mathcal{F}$ *onto* $\boldsymbol{P}_\mu, \boldsymbol{Q}_\mu, \boldsymbol{H}_\mu, \boldsymbol{J}_\mu,$ *and* $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ *respectively .*

Proof. (5.7.3) and (3.5.2) assure us that $\boldsymbol{U}$ maps a complete orthonormal set onto a complete orthonormal set and thus $\boldsymbol{U}$ is a unitary mapping. In addition, $\boldsymbol{U}$ maps $\boldsymbol{A}$ of 5.2 onto $\boldsymbol{A}_\mu$ of (3.4.3) because of the action of these operators on the orthonormal sets, see (5.7.2) and (3.7). The other assertions then follow.

REFERENCES

1. Ahiezer and Glazman, *Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space , Vol 1*, Frederick Ungar, New York, 1961.
2. R. Askey, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1975.
3. L. C. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck, *Angular Momentum in Quantum Physics*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol 9, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1981.

4. T. S. Chihara, *Generalized Hermite Polynomials*, Thesis, Purdue, 1955.
5. T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, London, Paris, 1984.
6. F. M. Cholewinski and D. T. Haimo, *Classical analysis and the generalized heat equation*, SIAM Review **10** (1968), 67-80.
7. F. M. Cholewinski, *Generalized Foch spaces and associated operators*, SIAM J. Math. Analysis **15** (1984), 177-202.
8. F.M. Cholewinski, *The Finite Calculus Associated with Bessel Functions*, Contemporary Mathematics Vol. 75, American Mathematics Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1988.
9. H.S.M. Coxeter, *Introduction to Geometry*, John Wiley, N. Y., London, Sydney, Toronto, 1969.
10. D.J.Dickinson and S.A. Warsi, *On a generalized Hermite polynomial and a problem of Carlitz*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **(3) 18** (1963), 256-259.
11. C. F. Dunkl, *Integral kernels with reflection group invariance*, Canadian J. Math. **43** (1991), 1213-1227.
12. C. F. Dunkl, *Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups*, Contemporary Math. **to appear**.
13. M. Dutta, S.K. Chatterjea and K. L. More, *On a class of generalized Hermite polynomials*, Bull. of the Inst. of Math. Acad. Sinica **3** (1975), 377-381.
14. H. Dym and H. P. McKean, *Gaussian Processes, Function Theory, and the Inverse Spectral Problem*, Vol 31 , Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1976.
15. A. Erdélyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol 1, 2 ,3*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980.
16. A. Erdélyi, *Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol 1, 2*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
17. J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, *Quantum Physics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
18. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. Vol. 31, American Mathematics Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1957.
19. N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and their Applications*, Translated by R. A. Silverman, Dover, New York, 1972.
20. J. D. Louck, *Extension of the Kibble-Slepian formula to Hermite polynomials using Boson operator methods*, Advances in Applied Math. **2** (1981), 239-249.
21. B. Muckenhoupt and E. M. Stein, *Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1965), 17-92.
22. N. Mukunda, E.C.G. Sudershan, J.K. Sharma, and C.L. Mehta, *Representations and properties of para-Bose oscillator operators. I. Energy position and momentum eigenstates*, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980), 2386-2394.
23. E. Nelson, *Analytic vectors*, Annals of Math. **70** (1959), 572-615.
24. Y. Ohnuki and S.Kamefuchi, *Quantum Field Theory and Parastatistics*, University of Tokyo Press, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982.
25. E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Chelsea, Bronx, New York, 1971.
26. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, I, Functional Analysis, Academic Press, San Diego New York Berkeley, 1980.
27. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, II Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, New York San Francisco London, 1975.
28. L. J. Slater, *Confluent Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge University Press, London and New York, 1960.

29. G. Szego, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. Vol. 23, American Mathematics Society, New York, 1939.
30. G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions,2nd Edition*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Great Britain, 1966.
31. E. P. Wigner, *Do the equations of motion determine the quantum mechanical commutation relations?*, Phys. Rev. **77** (1950), 711-712.

Department of Mathematics
University of Virginia
Math/Astro Building
Charlottesville, Virginia 22903-3199
U. S. A.
mr1t@virginia.edu

MSC 1991 Primary 33C45, 81Q05
Secondary 44A15

E-mail address: `mr1t @ virginia.edu`